

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it a discretionary power vested in the Government or is it the policy of the Government to help these Schools?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು 50 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪಾಲಿಸಿ ಇದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೇ ಅಥವಾ ಬೇಡವೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳಿಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಹೌದು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳಿಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ಖಾಸಗಿಯವರು ಶಾಲೆ ಯನ್ನು ಪುರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಧನ ಸಹಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ಪ್ಲಾನು ಮತ್ತು ಎಸ್ತಿ ಮೇಟ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಇಷ್ಟೇ ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಖನಾದರೂ ಗೊತ್ತು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಇಷ್ಟೇ ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಗೊತ್ತು ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ದೇವಯ್ಯ.—ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲು ಗಳಿಗೆ ಬೆಲ್ಯೂಂಗ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಗಾಂಧಿನಗರದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಗರ್ಲ್ಸ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲಿಗೆ ಗ್ರಾಂಟನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಇಂದಿಬಿಜುಯರ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಬೇಗೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲನ್ನು ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ವಿಚಾರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಆ ಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲನ್ನೇನೋ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಆ ಸ್ಕೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೂರು ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಕೂಡ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ಕೂಲನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೇಲೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೆಲ್ಯೂಂಗ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಕಷ್ಟಗಳೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದ್ದನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಯಾಸ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಧನ ಸಹಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೇ ಅಥವಾ ಬೇಡವೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸಹ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ರಾಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಬೇಗೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲಿಗೆ ಮೈಂಟೆನೆನ್ಸ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಮೈಂಟೆನೆನ್ಸ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡಲೇಬೇಕು.

Misappropriation of Society Funds by the Secretary of Haralur Co-operative Society, Tumkur Taluk.

*Q.—134. **Sri K. LAKKAPPA** (Hebbur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware that the Secretary, Haralur Co-operative Society, Tumkur Taluk, has misappropriated the Society fund;

(b) whether the Police have registered any case in this behalf and filed charge sheet and if not, the reasons therefor;

(c) the amount misappropriated by the said Secretary?

A.—**Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE** (Minister for Co-operation and Development).

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes. Charge Sheets have been filed in respect of two items of misappropriation and falsification of amounts and investigation in respect of other items is in progress.

(c) Does not arise in view of reply to Clause (b).

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಹರಳೂರು ಕೋಆಪರೇಟಿವ್ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ದುರುಪಯೋಗವಾಗಿದೆ, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಯ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ ಯವರು ದುರುಪಯೋಗ ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ಹಣವೆಷ್ಟು, ಈಗ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿರುವ ಹಣವೆಷ್ಟು?

† **Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA** (Deputy Minister for Co-operation).—The audit is not complete. So the amount could not be ascertained and given.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ಆ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಡೀಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೇನು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ದುರುಪಯೋಗವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಕೇನು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—There are two chargesheets, one for Rs. 58-36 N.P. and another for Rs. 10-10 N.P.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the same Secretary has misappropriated large sums of money and when he was transporting a lorry load of paddy the Police seized the stock and searched the godown?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—It has not been brought to the notice of the Government.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not a fact that my friend Sri Mallaradhy, when he was a member of this House, raised a question, to which the then Minister Sri Channabasappa, who was holding the portfolio said that they had seized a lorry load of paddy and that a chargesheet had been filed? Has that matter come to the notice of the Government?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—It has not come to the notice of the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಹರಳೂರು ಕೋ-ಆಪರೇಟಿವ್ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಯ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಗಳು ಬತ್ತದ ಮೂಟೆಗಳನ್ನು ಗೋಡೌನ್‌ನಿಂದ ಪರಾರಿಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ಅವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ಗೃಹ ಸಚಿವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಖಾತೆಯವರ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಡಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಹಿಡಿಸಿದಮೇಲೆ ಗೋಡೌನ್ ಪಾಲ ಬಿದ್ದಿದ್ದು ಚಾರ್ಜ್ ಶೀಟ್ ಹಾಕಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ? ಬಂದಿದ್ದರೆ ಏನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I have answered this question, Sir.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I request the Hon'ble Chair. Let us not waste breath by putting these questions. We want to know whether they are in a position to answer. My friend the then Chief Minister, who is there, passed several orders to prosecute. But none of them has been given effect to. That is why he is not holding the reins of the State.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is no supplementary.

(Interruption)

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—When I am appealing to the Chair, why should my friend bring in 'hanumant-harayanabala'?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not permit any 'hanumant-harayanabala.'

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—It is said that two charge sheets have been filed in respect of two items. May I know what are the allegations in which investigation is going on and since when?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—The nature of allegations is falsification of accounts, tampering of records, forgery, etc.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Since when?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before I proceed further, I want to know whether the matter is sub-judice.

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—These two charge sheets have been filed before the Additional Magistrate and the whole matter is sub-judice.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—But I am asking questions which are not sub-judice. I want to know since when these matters are under investigation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member is asking for information regarding a matter which is not sub-judice. It may, therefore, be answered.

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Sir, it is a matter pending in the courts...

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೀರಿ.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition must know that there is a responsibility cast on me.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—He should have said that it was sub-judice. We are eliciting information from the Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am grateful to the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition....

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—This is curtailing the rights of the House. We are here to elicit information. While supplying information, it is up to the Minister to say that it is sub-judice.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition should know that charge sheets have been filed in respect of this matter. Is it not cast on me to know whether the matter is sub-judice or not? However when there is a doubt whether the matter is sub-judice or not, it is my imperative duty to clarify and see that the House does not comment on matters dealt with in the Courts. If I think that I have to do it, I do it. It is not for anybody to tell me whether it is sub-judice. If I have a doubt, I have to clarify. If the Minister does not tell that it is sub-judice, I feel it is my duty to ask. The Leader of the Opposition is entirely in the wrong in thinking that if the Minister does not say that it is sub-judice, I have no right or duty to get it clarified. I would like to say.....

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—With all respect to the Chair, I beg to say that this is obstructing the Members of the Opposition from getting more information.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition should be more careful. I wish he had not expressed so. Here I am trying to help the members in every possible way. If the Leader of the Opposition makes the observation that I am obstructing, I really object to it.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—I never said that you were obstructing, Sir. I only said that under the shelter of sub-judice, the Minister will not give information.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In the context of the remarks made, it will convey the sense that I am obstructing. If you have not said it, I am sorry.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—I only meant that the Minister has taking shelter under it.

Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA.—Mere information will not be sub-judice. Expression of opinion regarding matters pending before courts is sub-judice.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Questions are being asked on information that is furnished. Questions are being asked on facts furnished that two charge sheets have been filed. It does not amount to 'sub-judice', Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—(Addressing Sri Venkatalai Gowda). You are an eminent lawyer. The question is pending before the Courts and in a relative discussion of this matter, you will have to make some comments.....

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—That two charge sheets have been filed is a fact. We are asking questions on that fact. That does not amount to 'sub-judice'.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In a discussion of this kind, we cannot differentiate. Every question has got an element of allegation. You put your supplementaries.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Since when are these investigations pending?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Since January 1961.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know what is the difficulty of the Government, or what are the difficulties in their way to finalise and complete the

investigation and put the matter before the Court?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—The books were in the possession of the Police. So the Department of Co-operation could not audit the accounts and report.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know in what connection the books were seized?

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—I also wanted to ask and you asked me to wait.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What am I to do? I cannot permit four people to put questions. You are not knew to parliamentary procedure. You must remember that Sir Muckannappa gets up and goes on rolling.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, in giving a chance to my friend, let me not be damaged.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—I have no objection if you want to give priority to my friend.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Please put your supplementaries.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ರಾರಿ ಕಡಿದರಲ್ಲಾ ಆಗ ಆಗಿನ ಹೋಂಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರು ತುಮಕೂರಿನಲ್ಲೇ ಇದ್ದರಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I am not aware.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರಿಗೇ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ಇನ್ನಾರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಬೇಕು, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I want notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಚು ನಾ ವ ಜಿ ಗ ಳು ಮುಗಿಯಲಿ ಎಂದು ಇದ್ದರಿ. ಇಗರಾದರೂ ಉಳಿದದ್ದು ಕೈಲ್ಲಾ ಜಾರ್ಜ್‌ಪೀಟ್ ಹಾಕುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Immediately the investigation is over, necessary action will be taken.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಇನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—My friend is repeating i.e. what Sri Muckannappa did.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—The Hon'ble Minister said that the matter was pending since January 1961 and that the books were in the custody of the Police. What prevented the Police from completing the investigation and filing a charge sheet? Why should they require one-and-a-half years?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—The Police could not get the accounts audited. It is the Department of

Co-operation that has to get the accounts audited.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Does the Government take a year and a half in every mis-appropriation case with respect to co-operative societies?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I could not hear. Please repeat.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಮಿಸ್ ಅಪ್ರೋಪ್ರಿಯೇಷನ್ ಕೇಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಂದೂವರೆ ವರ್ಷ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ ಇವೆನ್ನಿಗೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—No, Sir.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—In what connection did the Police seize the books of account? You were pleased to tell that the books were with the Police and that investigation could not be completed early.

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Audit was not completed.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—In what connection were the books seized? There was a complaint to the Police by some gentleman. On the receipt complaint, the Police went and seized the account books. What is the complaint made by the individual which prompted the police to immediately go and seize the books?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I want notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಪ್ಪ.—Investigation ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೊಲೀಸಿನವರು ರೆಕೃಪ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ವಶಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಹೋದಾಗ ಇರಾಖೆಯ ಅದಿ ಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅವರಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆ ಮಾಡಿದುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡಜ್ಜಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

Sri M. R. PATIL.—On a point of order. Are we not entering into matters that are sub-judice by asking questions of this type?

Mr. SPEAKER.—These are supposed to be not relating to the facts covered by the case. That is what Hon'ble Members are telling me.

Sri M. R. PATIL.—It is for the Chair to decide whether these matters are sub-judice or not. I seek a clarification on this point.

Mr. SPEAKER.—To a very large extent my friend Sri M. R. Patil is correct, but I have already made an observation which covers the question that he has raised.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣ ಸಿದ್ಧಪ್ಪ.—Investigation ಬಹಳಕಾಲ ನಿಧಾನವಾದರೆ, ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಾಗದ

ಪತ್ರ ಮತ್ತು ಲೆಕ್ಕದ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಗೆದ್ದಲು ತಿಂಡಿವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕವಕಾಶವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ!

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—That is a matter of opinion.

Construction of an irrigation tract road to the right side of Bhadra Reservoir

*Q.—157. **Sri T. D. DEVENDRAPPA (Bhadravathi).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that the road connecting Holehonnur, Siddapur, Sanyasikodammage, Nagasamudra, Mangote, Anaveri has been taken up for construction of an irrigation tract road to the right side of Bhadra Reservoir;

(b) if so, the estimated cost of the above said road;

(c) whether they are aware of the hardships caused to the people and cattle during rainy season for want of communication facilities in this area;

(d) if so, whether they intend to take up this work immediately?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) The road from Holehonnur to Anaveri is an existing road taken up for improvement under the programme of Irrigation Tract roads under Bhadra Project.

(b) Rs. 2,39,350.

(c) No.

(d) The work has been taken up.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಂದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಭದ್ರಾ ಜಲಾಶಯದ ನಿರಾವರಿ ರಸ್ತೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಈಗಿರುವ ಹೊಳೆಹೊನ್ನೂರಿನಿಂದ ಅನವೇರಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುವ ರಸ್ತೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತಮಗೊಳಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ 2,39,350 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ವೆಚ್ಚದ ಅಂದಾಜು ತಯಾರಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಈ ಕೆಲಸದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೀಗೆಯೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರುತ್ತಿರಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಮೊದಲು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆತ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಅರ್ಧಮಾಡಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋದುದರಿಂದ ಪುನಃ ಚೆಂಡರ್ ಕರೆದು ಬೇರೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಯಿತು, ಹಾಗೇ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಂದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ರಸ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಳೆಗಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಜಾನುವಾರುಗಳು ಹೂತು ಸತ್ತು ಹೋಗಿವೆಯೆಂಬುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?